Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/717,630	ELOO, MICHAEL
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Matthew J. Daniels	1732
All Participants: Status of Application:		
(1) Matthew J. Daniels.	(3)	
(2) <u>Suziń Bailey</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 2 October 2006	Time: <u>10:30</u>	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applic Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:	ant's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: 1,16		
Claims discussed: 1,16		
Prior art documents discussed: Balint and Bonner, Ekart (US 2005/0154183)		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENE See Continuation Sheet	ERAL NATURE OF WHAT WA	S DISCUSSED:
Part III.		
 ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summa 	ne examiner will provide a writt record of the substance of the	ten summary of the substance interview, since the interview
Marie Da		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicar	nt/Applicant's Representative S	ignature – if appropriate)

Application No. 10/717,630

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The Examiner proposed the enclosed claim amendments to overcome the rejection over Balint in view of Bonner by excluding the second heating stage. Additionally, the Examiner noted a new reference to Ekart (2005/0154183) which could have been applied against claims 1 and 16 filed 21 July 2006, but that the reference would be overcome if the crystallization was performed after exiting the dryer and excluded the second heating stage. The Examiner noted that Ekart provides crystallizer pipes, but does not teach crystallizing the pellets after exiting the dryer without a second heating stage.